

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/634,440

Applicant(s)

NOVAK ET AL.

Examiner

Maureen G. Arancibia

Art Unit

1763

All Participants:(1) Maureen G. Arancibia.(2) Brian Belles.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 November 2004**Time:** 4:00**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final rejection of Claim 1 under U.S. 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Tanaka et al. (JP 2000-164552)***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Maureen G. Arancibia
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tanaka et al. teaches the delivery of a mixture of deionized water and ozone via sparger plate 14. Applicant distinguishes over the prior art by delivering such a mixture from beneath a sparger plate. Claim language to clarify this distinction and to make Claim 1 commensurate in scope with U.S. Patent 6,649,018 (the parent of this divisional) was discussed and agreed upon.